

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/726,251	12/02/2003		Ludwig Eberler	P03,0469	3538
75	90	04/01/2005	EXAMINER		
SCHIFF HAR	DIN 8	& WAITE	MAYO, TARA L		
Patent Departm	ent				
6600 Sears Tow	/er		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
233 South Wac	ker Dri	ve	3671	-	
Chicago, IL 6	0606				

DATE MAILED: 04/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/726,251	EBERLER ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Tara L. Mayo	3671
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commur  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30)  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply wi Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION.  37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a relication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTILL, by statute, cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed</li> <li>2a) This action is FINAL.</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice</li> </ul>	b) This action is non-final.  or allowance except for formal matte	
Disposition of Claims	_	
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the appearance 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) 12 and 13 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 December 2</u> Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to be	$2003$ is/are: a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ on to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand the correction is required if the drawing(s)	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
	ocuments have been received. Ocuments have been received in Ap the priority documents have been in al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO 3)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	)-948) Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 1 through 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Specifically, the Specification as originally filed fails to provide support for the newly added limitation in claim 1 of "said guide rail being adapted to receive said patient bed thereon." On page 5 of the Specification at lines 11 through 12, Applicant teaches the rail system (5, 7) being placed on the patient bed.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 12 and 13 are allowed.
- 4. As allowable subject matter has been indicated, applicant's reply must either comply with all formal requirements or specifically traverse each requirement not complied with. See 37 CFR 1.111(b) and MPEP § 707.07(a).

## Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments, see page 6 at line 4 through page 7 at line 24 filed 03 January 2005, with respect to Wong '609 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 12 and 13 has been withdrawn.

#### Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tara L. Mayo whose telephone number is 703-305-3019. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/726,251 Page 4

Art Unit: 3671

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas B. Will can be reached on 703-308-3870. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

tlm 23 March 2005

ROBERT E. PEZZUTO PRIMARY EXAMINED

RM/